

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,453	3 07/09/2003		Astrid Pundt	25555	7982
20529	7590	05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
NATH & A	SSOCIA	TES		SHAH, SA	AMIR M
112 South We				ADT 1347	DARED MUNICED
Alexandria, \	VA 223	14	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2856	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Antique Commence	10/615,453	PUNDT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Samir M. Shah	2856					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[汉]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	nril 2005						
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	,—		secution as to the merits is					
٠,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
· _								
4)[	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.							
5\□	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-22,25 and 26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	·							
7)⊠	Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 24 is/are rejected.							
8)		r election requirement						
ا اره	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers		·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 9/30/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 1-6, 23 and 24) in the reply filed on 4/25/2005 is acknowledged.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see page 8, filed on 4/25/2005, with respect to claims 1-26 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 112 (2<sup>nd</sup>) rejection of claims 1-26 has been withdrawn.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 5 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mittal ("Salt bath test for assessing the adhesion of silver to poly(ethylene terephthalate) web" henceforth "Mittal").

As to claim 1, Mittal discloses a salt bath technique/method for determining the adhesion properties of at least one coating or film of at least one first material/silver to a substrate/ESTAR<sup>TM</sup> of a second material/poly(ethylene terephthalate) (page 423; abstract) including, charging the coating or film with at least one absorbate/salt-water (by soaking in a salt bath) (page 425, lines 3-20) and determining at least partially, at

Art Unit: 2856

least one critical physical or chemical parameter/adhesion value/soak time at which the coating or film detaches from the substrate/ESTAR<sup>TM</sup>(pages 430-432; figures 4, 5).

As to claim 4, Mittal discloses the salt bath test being carried out on 34 samples, which include silver coating or film of varying thickness (note, that this would be equivalent to carrying out the method steps at least twice and varying the thickness of the coating or film for each step) (page 430, last 3 paragraphs).

As to claim 5, Mittal discloses using the critical parameter/soak time to determine the adhesion value/energy (figures 4, 5; pages 430-432).

As to claim 24, Mittal discloses that in order to determine the critical parameter in the detachment process of the coating or film (silver) from the substrate/ESTAR<sup>TM</sup>, the surface roughness is monitored by determination of the surface scattering behavior (page 426).

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2, 3, 6 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon, cited in the attached 892 form, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samir M. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-2671. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,453

Art Unit: 2856

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SMS

5/10/2006

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800